## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 2 AT SEATTLE 3 4 BRUCE CORKER, et al., on behalf of Case No. 2:19-CV-00290-RSL themselves and others similarly situated, 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY Plaintiff. 6 APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENTS AND DIRECTING ISSUANCE OF NOTICE v. 7 COSTCO WHOLESALE The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik 8 CORPORATION, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 1. Upon review and consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Three 12 13 submitted in support thereof, including the Settlement Agreements<sup>1</sup> executed by Bruce 14

- Class Action Settlements ("Motion"), and briefing, declarations, exhibits, and other evidence submitted in support thereof, including the Settlement Agreements<sup>1</sup> executed by Bruce Corker d/b/a Rancho Aloha, Melanie Bondera and Melanie Bondera, husband and wife, d/b/a Kanalani Ohana Farm, and Robert Smith and Cecilia Smith, husband and wife, d/b/a Smithfarms, and Smithfarms LLC ("Plaintiffs"), Gold Coffee Roasters, Inc., Costa Rican Gold Coffee Company, Inc., and John Parry ("Gold"), Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Costco"), and The TJX Companies, Inc. and Marshalls of MA, Inc. (together, "TJX") (collectively "Parties"), for the purposes of the subject settlements, this Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2. The Court grants preliminarily approval of the Settlement Agreements based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreements.

26

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 2:19-CV-00290-RSL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as set for in the Settlement Agreements.

- 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class, composed of all persons and entities who farmed Kona coffee in the Kona District and then sold their coffee from February 27, 2015 to the present, likely meets the requirements for class certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) as follows:
  - a. The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members in a single proceeding would be impracticable;
  - b. Resolution of the claims will involve common questions of law and fact;
  - c. The named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the Settlement Class Members;
  - d. The named Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so; and
  - e. Questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over the questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members, and certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available methods to the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 4. The Court finds, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(B)(i), that the proposed Settlement Agreements are likely fair, reasonable, and adequate, entered into in good faith, and free from collusion; that Class Counsel have ably represented the Class; and, that the relief, monetary and injunctive, provided for in the Settlement Agreements outweighs the substantial costs, the delay, and risks presented by further prosecution of issues during pretrial, trial, and possible appeal. Additionally, the proposed allocation plan treats the class members equitably in proportion to their sales to provide Class Members with adequate relief. Based on these factors, the Court concludes that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), the Settlement Agreements meet the criteria for preliminary settlement approval and are deemed fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice to the Settlement Class is appropriate.
- 5. The Court appoints Paul Richard Brown and Nathan Paine, of Karr Tuttle Campbell, and Jason Lichtman, Daniel Seltz, and Andrew Kaufman, of Lieff Cabraser Heimann &

25

Bernstein, LLP as Settlement Class Counsel upon consideration of the factors set forth in Fed. Riv. Civ. P. 23(g).

- 6. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)<sup>2</sup> and 23(c)(2)(B), the Court approves, as to form and content:
  - a. The proposed Notice ("Notice"), in substantially the form attached as Exhibit [] with Plaintiffs' Motion. The Court finds that Notice is a reasonable method calculated to reach members of the Settlement Class who would be bound by the Settlement Agreements. The Notice will be sent via First Class U.S. Mail and email to all members for whom address information is available, and posted on the Settlement Website, www.KonaCoffeeSettlement.com.
  - b. The Publication Notice, in substantially the form attached as Exhibit [] with Plaintiffs' Motion, which will be published in the West Hawaii Daily. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice and Publication Notice because they concisely state in plain, easily understood language, *inter alia*: (1) the nature of the *Corker* case and the Settlement Agreements, including the terms thereof; (2) the definition of the Settlement Class; (3) the Plaintiffs' applications for service awards; (4) that a Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance on their own behalf or through an attorney and the procedures for filing an objection to the Settlement Agreements; (5) contact information for Settlement Class Counsel, and a toll-free number to ask questions about the Settlement Agreements; (6) the address of the case-specific website (the "Settlement Website") maintained by the Settlement Administrator that links to important case documents, including motion for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Specifically, pursuant to 23(e)(1)(B), the Court finds that notice can be directed "in a reasonable manner to all class members who would be bound by the proposal if giving notice is justified by the parties' showing that the court will likely be able to: (i) approve the proposal under Rule 23(e)(2); and (ii) certify the class for purposes of judgment on the proposal."

1

preliminary approval papers, and instructions on how to access the case docket via PACER or in person; (7) important dates in the settlement approval process, including the date of the Final Approval Hearing (as described below); (8) the binding effect of a class judgment on Settlement Class Members; and (9) Settlement Class Counsel's forthcoming Attorneys' Fees Motion.

- 7. The Court appoints JND Legal Administration as Settlement Administrator.
- 8. The Court finds that the Notice meets the requirements of due process under the U.S. Constitution and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.
- 9. The Court directs:
  - a. The mailing and emailing of the Settlement Class notice by First Class U.S. Mail and publication in the *West Hawaii Today*, shall begin within 21 days of the entry of this Order.
  - b. No later than 21 days after entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall create and maintain a Settlement Website until at least 30 days after the effective date of the Settlement Agreements. The Settlement Website shall (1) post the Second Amended Complaint, the Settlement Agreements, and Notice; (2) notify Settlement Class Members of their rights to object or opt-out; (3) inform Settlement Class Members that they should monitor the Settlement Website for developments; and (4) notify Settlement Class Members that no further notice will be provided to them once the Court enters the Final Order and Judgment, other than updates on the Settlement Website.
  - c. The Settlement Administrator shall establish an email account and P.O. Box to which Settlement Class Members may submit questions regarding the Settlement Agreements. The Settlement Administrator will monitor the email account and P.O. Box and respond promptly to administrative inquiries from Settlement Class Members and direct new substantive inquiries to Settlement Class Counsel.

- d. No later than 21 days after entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall establish a toll-free telephone number that Settlement Class Members can call to receive additional information about the Settlement Agreements. The toll-free number shall be operational until at least the effective date of the Settlement Agreements.
- 10. All costs associated with implementing Notice, including fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, shall be paid out of the Settlement Funds.
- 11. No later than 63 days after entry of this Order, Settlement Class Counsel shall file its application for attorneys' fees and Plaintiffs' request for service awards. The motions shall be noted on the Court's calendar for the Friday before the Final Approval Hearing.
- 12. No later than 14 days before the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall file an affidavit with the Court confirming its implementation of Notice in accordance with this Order.
- 13. Any Settlement Class Member may comment on, or object to, the Settlement Agreements, Settlement Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and costs, and/or the request for Plaintiffs' service awards.
- 14. The following chart summarizes the dates and deadlines set by this Order:

Event	Date
Notice of Settlement to be Mailed and emailed to Settlement Class Members, and published in the <i>West Hawaii Today</i> .	21 days after entry of the Court's Preliminary Approval Order
Creation and Maintenance of Settlement Website	21 days after the entry of the Court's Preliminary Approval Order
Creation and Maintenance of Toll-Free Number	21 days after the entry of the Court's Preliminary Approval Order
Deadline for Settlement Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and Plaintiffs' requests for service awards	63 days after entry of this Order
Settlement Administrator affidavit of compliance with notice requirements	14 days before Final Approval Hearing

-5-

1	Event	Date
		77 days after entry of this Order
2 3	Deadline to have postmarked and/or filed a written objection to the Settlements or request for an exclusion.	77 days area entry of this order
4	Settlements of request for an exclusion.	
5	Final Approval Hearing	Not less than 105 calendar days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order,
6		or as soon thereafter as is convenient for the Court
7		
8	DATED 11:	2021
9	DATED this day of	, 2021.
10		
11		The Honorable Judge Robert S. Lasnik United States District Court Judge
12		
13		
14	Presented by:	
15	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN	I, LLP
16	/s/ Daniel E. Seltz	
17	Daniel E. Seltz (pro hac vice) 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor	
18	New York, NY 10013	
19	Telephone: 212-355-9500 Email: dseltz@lchb.com	
20	KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL	
21	Paul Richard Brown, WSBA #19357	
22	Nathan T. Paine, WSBA #34487 Daniel T. Hagen, WSBA #54015	
23	Andrew W. Durland, WSBA #49747	
24	Joshua M. Howard, WSBA #52189 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300	
25	Seattle, Washington 98104 206.223.1313	
26	npaine@karrtuttle.com	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 2:19-CV-00290-RSL -6-	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LL 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floo New York, NY 10013-141

New York, NY 10013-1413 Tel. 212.355.9500 • Fax 212.355.9592